CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT – 12 OCTOBER 2023

BLOXHAM: PROPOSED 20MPH SPEED LIMITS

Report by Corporate Director, Environment and Place

RECOMMENDATION

1. The Cabinet Member for Highway Management is RECOMMENDED to approve the introduction of 20mph speed limits in Bloxham as advertised.

Executive summary

2. The report presents responses to a statutory consultation on the proposed introduction of 20mph speed limits in Bloxham as shown in **Annex 1**.

Financial Implications

3. Funding for consultation and the proposals themselves has been provided by the County Council's 20mph Speed Limit Project.

Equality and Inclusion Implications

4. No implications in respect of equalities or inclusion have been identified in respect of the proposals.

Sustainability Implications

5. The proposals would help encourage walking and cycling within Bloxham by making them safer and more attractive.

Formal consultation

6. Formal consultation was carried out between 04 May and 29 September 2023 (period extended at the request of the parish council). A notice was published in the Banbury Guardian newspaper, and an email sent to statutory consultees & key-stakeholders, including Thames Valley Police, the Fire & Rescue Service, Ambulance service, Bus operators, countywide transport, access & disabled peoples user groups, Cherwell District Council, the local District Cllrs,

Bloxham parish council, and the local County Councillor representing the Bloxham & Easington division.

Statutory Consultee Responses:

7. Three responses were received from statutory consultees. Thames Valley Police re-iterated their views concerning OCC's policy and practice regarding 20mph speed limits, they consider their response as 'having concerns' rather than an objection. Cherwell District Council supports 20 limits on the narrow and more constrained roads but suggest the proposals be re-considered on the more strategic routes given their alignment. It also believes in many locations parked cars already keep speeds below 20mph. In its response, Bloxham Parish Council considered the residents responses to its own informal consultation on the proposed 20mph speed restrictions and agreed that it supported the 20mph proposals for the residential areas as suggested; it also submitted requests for 20 limits on strategic routes.

Other Responses:

8. 68 responses were received via the online consultation survey during the course of the formal consultation, and these are summarised in the table below:

Proposal	Object	Concerns	Support	No opinion/ objection	Total
20mph speed limit	20 (29%)	13 (19%)	35 (52%)	-	68

- 9. A letter was also received, which suggested that parking was primarily the main issue with traffic within the village.
- 10.37 local residents in support including two who had labelled their response as 'concerns'. Objections and concerns were expressed by 28 local residents and two members of the public, one of whom lived in Witney. One respondent was neutral.
- 11. The following table is a synopsis of the objections and concerns with the views of some respondents covering more than one category,

View/Opinion	Number of responses
Not effective and a waste of money	20
Congestion and pollution concerns	8
No safety justification	7
Limit will be ignored	5
Only needed in some areas	4
Not on A361	3
More calming instead	3

Increased danger to pedestrians from false sense of security	3
Increased sign clutter	2
Increased driver distraction from focus on speedometer	1

12. Those who responded online, were also asked whether if the 20mph speed limit proposals were implemented, would it likely influence a change to their mode of travel in the area, the results of which are shown below:

Travel Change	Number
Yes – walk/wheel more	13 (19%)
Yes – cycle more	7 (10%)
No	47 (69%)
Other	1 (2%)

13. The responses are shown in **Annex 2**, and copies of the original responses are available for inspection by County Councillors.

Officer response to objections/concerns

- 14. The main purpose of the scheme is to encourage greater use of active travel by reducing speeds; this is also expected to reduce accidents. The aim of reducing speed limits is to change driver's mindsets to make speeding socially unacceptable and make more environmentally friendly modes of travel such as walking and cycling more attractive and also reduce the Counties carbon footprint. This forms part of a countywide programme of works that seeks to deliver 'a safer place with a safer pace'.
- 15. The public responses were closely balanced between support and objection/concerns. However, while 68 views is a relatively strong level of engagement it still only equates to approx. 2% of Bloxham's population.
- 16. Cherwell District Council's views are logical but do not reflect the core strategy of the 20mph limits policy to provide lower speed limits where people live. Careful assessment is required where roads are particularly strategic, or housing is sparse but in the case of Bloxham the proposals are deemed to meet the County Council's policy. The proposals already allow for 20mph limits on strategic routes as requested by the parish council. Whilst it is recognised there has been a subsequent request for a 20mph limit on Ell's Lane, it is not believed the lane meets the policy criteria for 20mph.
- 17. The authority considers objections along the lines of it being unjustified, anticar, a waste of money, not enforceable or pointless to not warrant amendments to a proposal. As such the authority has not addressed any specific comments made of this nature in this report.

Bill Cotton

Corporate Director, Environment and Place

Annexes

Annex 1: Consultation plan Annex 2: Consultation responses

Phil Whitfield Contact Officers: 07912 523497

Geoff Barrell 07392 318869

October 2023



Concerns – Thames Valley Police welcome the opportunity to engage on plans for road safety improvement and acknowledge that 20mph limits can be a useful tool in road safety. There are other reasons 20mph limits may be desirable for communities, such as environmental concerns, and creating a shared space environment to encourage greater diversity of road users. Compliance with 20mph limits is a challenging issue as there is a difference between the achievable results of the various available schemes. For example a sign-only scheme will only have a limited effect on the mean speeds, as opposed to other schemes that influence the road environment, which is recognised as being key to achieving compliance. If a speed limit is set too low and is ignored then this could result in the vulnerable road user being less safe, it can also cause a disproportionate number of drivers to criminalise themselves and could bring the system of speed limits into disrepute. Thames Valley Police have no policy to enforce based on arbitrary speed limits alone but will enforce based on threat of harm, risk and resourcing. 20mph limits are not excluded from this and will be enforced where appropriate. There should be no expectation that the police would be able to provide regular enforcement if a speed limit is set too low as this could result in an unreasonable additional demand on police resources and there are no additional resources available to support extra on-compliance and should be avoided. The policy of Thames Valley Police is to use sound practical and realistic criteria (Setting local speed limits – GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)) when responding to Highway Authorities in an effort to promote consistency and to reduce the burden of constant and unnecessary enforcement. The advice shown in Circular Roads 1/2013 states. The key factors that should be taken into account in any decisions on local speed limits are:	RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
 history of collisions road geometry and engineering road function composition of road users (including existing and potential levels of vulnerable road users) existing traffic speeds road environment 	(1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley	Concerns – Thames Valley Police welcome the opportunity to engage on plans for road safety improvement and acknowledge that 20mph limits can be a useful tool in road safety. There are other reasons 20mph limits may be desirable for communities, such as environmental concerns, and creating a shared space environment to encourage greater diversity of road users. Compliance with 20mph limits is a challenging issue as there is a difference between the achievable results of the various available schemes. For example a sign-only scheme will only have a limited effect on the mean speeds, as opposed to other schemes that influence the road environment, which is recognised as being key to achieving compliance. If a speed limit is set too low and is ignored then this could result in the vulnerable road user being less safe. It can also cause a disproportionate number of drivers to criminalise themselves and could bring the system of speed limits into disrepute. Thames Valley Police have no policy to enforce based on arbitrary speed limits alone but will enforce based on threat of harm, risk and resourcing. 20mph limits are not excluded from this and will be enforced where appropriate. There should be no expectation that the police would be able to provide regular enforcement if a speed limit is set too low as this could result in an unreasonable additional demand on police resources and there are no additional resources available to support extra enforcement. Messages from partners that police will not enforce need to be discouraged. Such messaging can encourage non-compliance and should be avoided. The policy of Thames Valley Police is to use sound practical and realistic criteria (Setting local speed limits – GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)) when responding to Highway Authorities in an effort to promote consistency and to reduce the burden of constant and unnecessary enforcement. The advice shown in Circular Roads 1/2013 states. The key factors that should be taken into account in any decisions on local speed limits are: hist

However I recognise Oxfordshire County Council now have their own Policy for Setting Speed Limits and I expect full compliance of that policy going forward in relation to both monitoring, future engineering and self-enforcement through Community Speed Watch.

Our stance remains that primarily 20 mph speed limits and zones should be self-enforcing

Speed limits should be considered as part of a package of measures to manage vehicle speeds and improve road safety. Changes to the highway (for example through narrowing, providing vertical traffic calming or re-aligning the road) may be required to encourage lower speeds in addition to any change in speed limit. Though these may be more expensive, they are more likely to be successful in the long term in achieving lower speeds without the need for increased police enforcement to penalise substantial numbers of motorists.

(2) Bloxham Parish Council

Support – Bloxham Parish Council has considered the residents responses to its informal consultation on the proposed 20mph speed restrictions and agreed that it supported the 20mph proposals for the residential areas as suggested by OCC and made the following amendments on the main roads into the village:

- 1. on the A361, the 20mph zone should start just prior to the junction with Courtington Lane and continue until the entrance gate at Bloxham Recreation Ground;
- 2. on the A361, from the junction with Courtington Lane to Warriner School, this stays as a 30mph zone, but the 20mph speed restrictions are applied at school drop-off and collection times, and there are flashing warning signs to this effect in both directions;
- 3. on Milton Road, the 20mph restrictions are applied from Dickenson Road to the junction of Barford Road;
- 4. on Barford Road, the 20mph restrictions are applied from Maule Close to the mini roundabout on the A361; and
- 5. on Tadmarton Road, the 20mph restrictions are applied until after the Primary School and Faulkner Close.

In addition to the above, the Parish Council supports the current 30mph VAS' being replaced by 20mph VAS' at all locations and it would like to retain the 30mph VAS' so they can be repurposed in the village.

Also, the Parish Council would like to be consulted on the locations of the new 20mph signs before they are erected in the village because it does not support all of the suggested locations on the plan supplied by OCC.

Additionally, the following should also be considered:

	 Extend the 30mph on Ells Lane from its current location at the junction with A361, to start before Wyatts Nursery and Tea Room. This is because of the use of Ells Lane for pick up and drop off at Warriner School and also pedestrians walking to the Nursery. Regarding the 20mph VAS locations, could OCC please provide the proposed locations when the officers provide details of the locations of the normal 20mph signs. Is it possible to consider funding an ANPR speed camera as part of the villages' overall traffic calming initiative? Possibly being located on the A361 coming from the Chipping Norton direction where the PC's current 30mph VAS is located by Bloxham Rec? This stretch of road is shown to be the worst for speeding as recorded on the VAS
(3) Cherwell District Council, (Development Management)	Concerns – Planning officers are regularly users of the roads in Bloxham, both as a destination and means of travel to destinations in all direction to and from the village. The proposal seems a sensible idea given the number of roads in the village that are narrower and/or have bends and/or have parked cars. That said, the preponderance of parked cars tends to regulate the speeds used. In addition, there are some roads where there may appear to be less justification, principally due the nature and linearity of some of the roads: - Barford Road and Milton Road, from just after the junction with each other (should remain 30mph) - Courtington Lane (ditto) - The A361 from just north of the junction with Courtington Lane (ditto) We wonder whether further consideration may be given to the above alterations.
(4) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Ayres Drive)	Object – I would prefer this to speed bumps everywhere but I do not feel that the level of incident / accident and general noise pollution is sufficient to warrant slowing the village down to 20mph Travel change: No
(5) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Chipperfield Park Road)	Object – A 20mph speed limit would make little or no difference to overall speed as traffic is slow anyway Travel change: No

(6) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Chipperfield Park Road)	Object – Selected areas could be made 20mph eg near schools. Other areas can be controlled by volume of traffic at the time. Travel change: No
(7) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Object – Feel it's unnecessary. There doesn't appear to be any reason to lower the speed limit Travel change: No
(8) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Gascoigne Way)	Object – While I agree with the idea of 20mph for side streets, I am against 20mph on the A361 Travel change: No
(9) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Greenhills Park)	Object – No factual evidence given (that relates to Bloxham) that suggests the current limit is an issue. Traffic already bad through the village and will be worse with slower moving vehicles. Travel change: No
(10) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Havill Crescent)	Object – 20mph is excessive in most areas around bloxham with the exception of a few key streets. Travel change: No
(11) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Little Bridge Road)	Object – It's completely unnecessary. There is no justifiable 'safety' reason to reduce it from 30MPH. Travel change: No
(12) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Little Bridge Road)	

	Object – A 20mph speed limit will cause congestion and increase existing noise and pollution as the traffic, including many lorries, slows down, especially on the busy A361. This already happens whenever there is a hold-up and would become worse. Increased conge Travel change: No
(13) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Little Bridge Road)	Object – The money spent on introducing what I believe to be un-neccessary 20 limits could be better spent on other road safety measures such a improved lighting, better road marking and dealing with pot holes. 20 m.p.h. limits do not always reduce accidents, and Travel change: No
(14) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Quarry Close)	Object – This will not address the issue of too much traffic through the village during the day. It's not possible to do 20 let alone 30 miles an hour in the day. And it benefits no one to penalise a resident retuning home at 9pm travelling at 24 miles an hour whe Travel change: No
(15) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Queen Street)	Object – Bloxham does not need 20 mph restriction. In 23 years of stats the CRASH Map indicates 1 fatal and 7 serious accidents. ie. c.1 incident every 4 years. The 1 fatal accident, based on reports at the time, 2007, had nothing to do with speed (check police r Travel change: No
(16) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Rose Bank)	Object – Other measures are needed instead Travel change: No
(17) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Unicorn Street)	Object – The biggest issue in Bloxham is the traffic which is what needs to be resolved not a 20mph speed limit. The village does not need this. The best you could do is put double yellow lines outside the coop to allow free flow of traffic.

	Travel change: No
(18) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Workhouse Lane)	Object – Speed around Bloxham is not a particular issue. The issue is the volume of traffic at school times and the beginning and end of the working day along with build up around the shops. The mini roundabout, pedestrian crossings and parking already slow traff Travel change: No
(19) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Banbury Road)	Object – Will cause further congestion in middle of village by shops Travel change: No
(20) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Banbury, Aldous Drive)	Object – 30 is not, and never has been, a target. Getting cars down to 30 in reality would have more impact than a set of signs that through traffic feel entitled to ignore. Travel change: No
(21) Local Resident, (Milcombe)	Object – We have enough restrictions already changing it from 30 to 20mph will have little or no effect maybe just a method to extract more money in fines Travel change: No
(22) Member of public, (Oxford Hill)	Object – No reason for this to be implemented. Electric bikes/scooters and normal bikes capable of going faster than that will be hazardous to motorists and create further risk. There is no good valid reason to change this in such a small community that is fine wi Travel change: No

(23) Member of public, (Bodicote, Fairing Road)	Object – 30mph is an appropriate speed for the area. There is no evidence to show 20mph is a benefit to safety or emissions. Travel change: No
(24) Member of public, (Banbury, Waller Drive)	Concerns – Bloxham lies astride the A361 which is an important and heavily used cross-country route used by vehicles of all kinds contributing to national well-being, wealth and employment. It is already subject to 30 mph through the village and this is enforced by Travel change: No
(25) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Aldous Drive)	Concerns – I don't believe that there is any point in putting in place a lower speed limit when the current speed limit isn't enforced. I would rather see speed limiting measures such as those put in place in Adderbury at the entrance and exit of the village which f Travel change: No
(26) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Ayres Drive)	Concerns – Do not agree with 20mph scheme on A361 passing through Bloxham. The speed limit appears to be self governing due to parked vehicles on the highway. Travel change: No
(27) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Barford Road)	Concerns – Unlikely to improve matters but will incur significant costs Travel change: No
(28) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Chipperfield Park Road)	Concerns – Only 20 required in certain areas Travel change: No

(29) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Concerns – I support a 20mph in the centre of the village by the shops only. Travel change: No
(30) Local Resident, (Bloxham, High Street)	Concerns – I am supportive of a 20mph limit, but only if it is enforced. The current issue is not people driving at 30mph, it is car and lorry drivers at up to 50mph and motorbikes often at 70mph. Travel change: No
(31) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Ludford)	Concerns – While supporting fully the proposed limit reductions, the A361 to the south of Bloxham has gates for pedestrians, and occasionally maintenance vehicles, to get access to the recreation fields adjacent to the A361. Theposition of this access is much furt Travel change: No
(32) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Merrivales Lane)	Concerns – The overall length of the 20mph limit along Bareford Road, Church Street and High Street needs to be reduced whilst the 20mph speeds limits for side roads and residential areas are retained. I suggest starting the 20mph limit covering central Bloxham at t Travel change: No
(33) Local Resident, (Bloxham, The Ridgeway)	Concerns – I do not believe the majority of people will observe a 20limit through the whole of the main road through village. Road Narrowing and chicanes would be more effective. Travel change: No

(34) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Workhouse Lane)	Concerns – At the Bloxham Annual Parish Council Meeting held on April 26 2023, the Chairman, David Bunn, minuted that "The Parish Council was not ready to start the consultation process yet on 'traffic calming' because the PC was waiting for an answer from the Count Travel change: No
(35) Local Resident, (Bloxham, High Street)	Concerns – I think it needs a speed camera to work. I'm not sure it'll improve the increased traffic. Main problem is parking outside shops which creates traffic build up when large vehicles need to get through. Travel change: No
(36) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Colesbourne Road)	Concerns – A 30 mph speed limit already exists in the village which is difficult to enforce as we have infrequent speed traps and never at times when excessive speeding takes place, off peak travel times, early evenings and weekends, The estate roads are not such a Travel change: No
(37) Local Resident, (Bloxham, The Ridgeway)	Concerns – I feel the survey is a waste of time as it is very unlikely that you could go above 20mph through Bloxham because of the parking. I have lived in Bloxham all my 81 years and its now got to the stage when its difficult to get to your own house. The blackspots are High Street, Humber & Chapel Street and of course the two schools. This problem should be your priority.
(38) Local Resident, (Adderbury, Round Close Road)	Support – For the safety of pedestrians and cyclists. Travel change: Yes - cycle more

(39) Local Resident, (Bloxham, A361)	Support – I think it will save lives, both in terms of lowering the speed of vehicles and improve air quality. Travel change: Yes - cycle more
(40) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Aldous Drive)	Support – Traffic goes too fast through the village and in the 30 mph limit on the Milton road they go often in excess of 50 mph. Travel change: No
(41) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Banbury Road)	Support – To protect pedestrians and drivers from further accidents which have happened regularly along the main stretch of road through bloxham especially near the courtington road junction. Travel change: No
(42) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Bradford Court)	Support – 30mph is dangerous. Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(43) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Bradford Court)	Support – Living near the A361/church street in Bloxham. I see and hear the speed which motorists travel. It is only a matter of time before someone is seriously injured or even killed. Something needs to be done before then. Travel change: No
(44) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Church Street)	Support – Outside peak times, when the traffic congestion is high, Speeding through the village and especially along the A361 is very common. I guess this was the reason for the speed camera. Cars speeding are one thing, but heavy lorries travelling along the A361, Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more

(45) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Church Street)	Support – There is a constant flow of traffic throughout Bloxham and it is hard to cross the road especially during busy hours. I live near the roundabout on South Newington Road/Church Street and it is often loud due to the sound of traffic speeding up to/away fro Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(46) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Support – The speed needs to be 20mph through the village for safety reasons Travel change: No
(47) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Support – We live on Collins Drive just off Milton Road where there are lots of young people playing in the park as well as cats, dogs and general wildlife in the area. Often people accelerate harshly whilst leaving the village and they do not slow down sufficiently on the way into the village. I am worried about the time when someone gets hurt. There was a speed awareness sign that told you your speed whilst leaving the village but that seems to have disappeared? Please help make our village safer. Travel change: No
(48) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Support – Speeds are too high with commuters cutting through, needs much closer management to avoid accidents Travel change: No
(49) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Collins Drive)	Support – I believe that 20mph is an adequate speed for Bloxham. There is a school in the middle of the village and often people abuse the speed limit in this area. Moreover, the amount of animals, wildlife and young children in the area are at risk currently. Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more

(50) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Crab Tree Close)	Support – The road users currently ignore that there is 30 mph zone as they come into the village by the Bloxham Grove/ Ells Lane junction. They will still be travelling at about 40mph as they enter, and as they leave they will be accelerating up to 50 by the sign. Travel change: Yes - cycle more
(51) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Crab Tree Close)	Support – There are increasing levels of traffic through Bloxham, much of it heavy goods in nature, particularly construction traffic for HS2, the nearest site for which is some miles away! The traffic gets stuck in bottlenecks created by a small shopping area, ped Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(52) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Hyde Grove)	Support – There are many areas of the village where drivers often exceed the 30mph anything that will help to reduce their speed will make the streets safer. In particular: Cumberford Hill leading to Upper Tadmarton Road Travel change: No
(53) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Humber Street)	Support – I fully support this. Unfortunately drivers regularly speed through the village and rat-run through narrow side streets when the traffic is heavy. The 20mph limit will slow the pace down, which will help increase safety. It will also help reduce noise and improve the feeling of local community, rather than just houses on a busy main road. Travel change: Yes - cycle more
(54) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Humber Street)	Support – Safety of pedestrians and air quality Travel change: Yes - cycle more

(55) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Merrivales Lane)	Support – I live in an old house in the centre of Bloxham and so support anything that will: 1) improve the quality of the air I inhale at my front door everyday; 2) reduce the noise I am forced to listen to as I try to sleep; and 3) make me feel safer as I bodily Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(56) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Milton Road)	Support – Bloxham has several roads through it, including a major A road. Speeding drivers are a constant issue throughout the village. There is one speed camera on the A361 but no others and we have not seen any mobile speed detectors for years. The side roads, Travel change: Other Yes - walking more, I cannot allow my primary age child to walk to school alone; it is too dangerous. This will allow him to have safe independence growing children need. Secondly, we will all cycle more; I view it as too dangerous to take the children o
(57) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Preedy Walk)	Support – Extremely concerned about high speeds on the Milton Road - would also want a lower speed limit (30mph) to be extended and imposed further down this road towards Milton & Adderbury because of the speed that road users travel at. Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(58) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Preedy Walk)	Support – I am very concerned about the speeds driven in and out of Bloxham - specifically on the Milton Road. Travel change: No
(59) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Russell Street)	Support – The village roads have become a rat run and cut through placing walkers at risk. Cars are regularly speeding through Milton Road and Tadmarton Road Travel change: No

(60) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Schofields Way)	Support – I regularly walk along the A361 in Bloxham. I estimate that at least 30% of vehicles travel at 35 mph or greater, especially from the north of Bloxham School to the village boundary. I estimate that 10% of vehicles, including HGVs, travel at 40+ mph in ei Travel change: Yes - cycle more
(61) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Banbury Road)	Support – I live on the main road between the two schools. The road is getting busier and there are no plans to help alleviate that issue. There are also limited safe places to cross the road for the children, especially as you get closer to the Bloxham school. Th Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(62) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Brickle Lane)	Support – In residential areas and on this A road the proposed limit is appropriate. Travel change: No
(63) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Church Street)	Support – I live here Travel change: No
(64) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Church Street)	Support – Too many speeding cars coming through the village on a daily basis. This has been a major problem for many years. Travel change: No
(65) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Dickenson Road)	Support – Reduce speeding traffic. Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more

(66) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Gauntlets Close)	Support – Village centre is busy and with three schools, the likelihood of a serious accident involving a child is high. Speed limits are ignored in the village other than at the speed camera. Policing of a reduced speed limit is of concern. Travel change: No
(67) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Greenhills Park)	Support – Keep pedestrians safe Travel change: Yes - cycle more
(68) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Manning Close)	Support – Safer for pedestrians and cyclists Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(69) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Merrivales Lane)	Support – I live in the centre of Bloxham and have to walk along the main road to do my shopping. The passing traffic, even at 30mph is terrifying because of the size of the lorries. A reduction in speed would make the centre of the village a lot safer. Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more
(70) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Russell Street)	Support – I believe that many people speed through the village, including along the Milton Road and also by the Primary school in addition to the main high street. We get a lot of through traffic and people just race through the village. I think 20 mph schemes work Travel change: No
(71) Local Resident, (Bloxham, Schofields Way)	Support – Safer roads Travel change: Yes – walk/wheel more

(72) Local Resident,
(Bloxham, South
Newington Road)

Support – It does not feel safe to walk or cycle on the village main roads due to large vehicles passing at high speed. The roads are narrow in places with little space for pedestrians; more than one person can only walk as single file and have to step out into the

Travel change: Yes - walk/wheel more